

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. K-2146 2077 10/780,758 02/19/2004 Kiyoshi Watanabe EXAMINER 7590 08/24/2004 KANESAKA AND TAKEUCHI ROVNAK, JOHN EDMUND 1423 Powhatan Street PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Alexandria, VA 22314 3714

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					X/	
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	. 4	
Office Action Summary		10/780,758		WATANABE ET A	L. /	
		Examiner		Art Unit	,	
- 11AU (NIA BASE 144)		John E. Rovnak		3714		
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ade ·	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date or - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the no - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. nan thirty (30) days, a reply naximum statutory period wi od for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing	i6(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> mmunication.	
Status						
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>19 Fe</u>	bruary 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL.						
3)☐ Since this application is in c	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the	e practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdrawed.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 For Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected.	ebruary 2004 is/are any objection to the d including the correction	: a)⊠ accepted of frawing(s) be held in on is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) No 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the Ir * See the attached detailed Offi	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori tternational Bureau	have been receit have been receit ity documents hav (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Applicatio ve been receive a)).	on No d in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		9-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/780,758

Art Unit: 3714

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neuhaus.

Neuhaus discloses a computer assisted learning apparatus for displaying predetermined learning materials on a computer-controlled display screen wherein the screen includes multiple small screen sections having related-information display fields including an explanation text display field. (See Fig. 9)

From Abstract: "When a CD ROM video or audio library is accessed, animation and/or audio viewing and listening are made possible."

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuhaus in view of McCarthy et al. Neuhaus does not show four equally divided screens but a plurality of related screens. McCarthy et al teaches the display of four equally divided

Application/Control Number: 10/780,758

Art Unit: 3714

screens from which it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that Newhaus could display four equally divided screens.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuhaus in view of Gavron et al. Screen zoom function is a well known Microsoft Windows display feature as taught by Gavron et al ("Maximize Button") and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide for the displays of Neuhaus to enhance visibility of a particular item. Moreover, content exchange can be done in a multiple Windows environment using the File menu and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ in the Neuhaus invention. See Figs. of p. 23 of Gavron et al.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A computer program is non-statutory.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ramshaw discloses a computer training system. Miller discloses a multipurpose system. Dinis discloses a diving simulator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John E. Rovnak whose telephone number is (703) 308-3087. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/780,758 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

supervisor, Jessica Harrison can be reached on (703) 308-2217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Rovnak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714
